

ON THE WEDDERBURN PRINCIPAL THEOREM IN CONFORMAL ALGEBRAS

PAVEL KOLESNIKOV

ABSTRACT. We investigate an analogue of the Wedderburn principal theorem for associative conformal algebras with finite faithful representations. It is shown that the radical splitting property for an algebra of this kind holds if the maximal semisimple factor of this algebra is unital, but does not hold in general.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the main points of the theory of finite-dimensional associative algebras is the classical Wedderburn principal theorem. In a sketched form, it could be stated as follows. If A is an algebra over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{k}$, N is a nilpotent ideal of A , and if A/N contains a semisimple finite-dimensional subalgebra $\overline{S}$, then the preimage of $\overline{S}$ in A contains a subalgebra $S \simeq \overline{S}$. There are many generalizations of this theorem for various classes of associative (see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4] and references therein) and nonassociative (e.g., [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]) algebras.

Let us change the data as follows: suppose that for a nilpotent ideal N of an algebra A the algebra A/N contains a subalgebra $\overline{S}$ isomorphic to the first Weyl algebra $A_1 = \mathbb{k}\langle p, q \mid qp - pq = 1 \rangle$ or to the matrix algebra $M_n(A_1)$, $n > 1$. In general, it is not true that the preimage of $\overline{S}$ in A contains a subalgebra isomorphic to A_1 or $M_n(A_1)$, respectively. Roughly speaking, we are going to show that for certain class of algebras an analogue of the Wedderburn statement holds in these data. The algebras under consideration are subalgebras of $\text{End } V$ (V is an infinite-dimensional vector space) satisfying so called TC-condition (translation-invariance, continuity). This condition is a new formalization of the class of ordinary algebras associated with conformal algebras [14] (c.f. formal distribution algebras [11]), so the main results of this paper are stated for conformal algebras. In some sense, we continue studying the structure theory of associative conformal algebras with finite faithful representation started in [11, 12, 13].

The formal definition of a conformal algebra was introduced in [14] as an algebraic language describing the singular part of operator product expansion (OPE) in conformal field theory. Another important feature of conformal algebras relates to the notion of a pseudo-tensor category [15]: a conformal algebra is just an algebra in the pseudo-tensor category $\mathcal{M}^*(H)$ associated with the polynomial bialgebra

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16N40, 16S32, 16S99.

Key words and phrases. radical, Wedderburn principal theorem, conformal algebra, Weyl algebra.

Partially supported by RFBR 05-01-00230, Complex Integration Program SB RAS (1.9) and SB RAS grant for young researchers (Presidium SB RAS, act N.29 of January 26, 2006). The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the Pierre Deligne fund based on his 2004 Balzan prize in mathematics.

$H = \mathbb{k}[D]$ (see [16]). An object of this category is a left unital H -module, and an algebra in $\mathcal{M}^*(H)$ is a module $C \in \mathcal{M}^*(H)$ endowed with $(H \otimes H)$ -linear map $*$: $C \otimes C \rightarrow (H \otimes H) \otimes_H C$. Associativity, commutativity, and other identities have a natural interpretation in this language. Note that an ordinary algebra over a field $\mathbb{k}$ is just an algebra in $\mathcal{M}^*(\mathbb{k})$.

In this context, the obvious way to generalize finite-dimensional algebras is to consider the class of finite conformal algebras, i.e., finitely generated H -modules. Another approach is to consider conformal algebras acting faithfully on a finitely generated H -module, i.e., those with finite faithful representation. These conformal algebras are not necessarily finite themselves, but they are clearly the analogs of subalgebras of $\text{End } U$, $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} U < \infty$. In particular, if V is a finitely generated H -module then the set of all conformal endomorphisms (see [11, 14, 17]) forms an associative conformal algebra denoted by $\text{Cend } V$, that is a “conformal analogue” of $\text{End } U$. Since $\text{Cend } V$ is an infinite conformal algebra, the theory of conformal algebras with finite faithful representation can not be reduced to the theory of finite conformal algebras. On the other hand, it is unknown whether an arbitrary finite (Lie or associative) conformal algebra has a finite faithful representation.

In [11, 17], simple and semisimple finite Lie and associative conformal algebras were described. In the associative case [11], a finite semisimple conformal algebra is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of current conformal algebras over simple finite-dimensional algebras. It was shown in [18] that an arbitrary finite associative conformal algebra C could be presented as $C = S \oplus R$, where R is the maximal nilpotent ideal of C and S is a semisimple subalgebra isomorphic to C/R .

For finite Lie conformal algebras, the similar statement (analogous to the Levi theorem) is not true: it follows from the description of cohomologies of the Virasoro conformal algebra [19] (see also [16]).

In [13], the classification of simple and semisimple (more precisely, semiprime) associative conformal algebras with finite faithful representation was obtained (see Theorem 2.9 below). Moreover, any subalgebra C of $\text{Cend } V$ contains a maximal nilpotent ideal $R = \text{Rad}(C)$, and C/R also has a finite faithful representation. There is a natural problem: whether C could be presented as $C = S \oplus R$, $S \simeq C/R$? In this paper we show that the answer is positive if C/R is a unital conformal algebra, but in the general case the answer is negative.

Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{k}$ is an algebraically closed field of zero characteristic, $\mathbb{Z}_+$ is the set of non-negative integers. By H we will denote the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{k}[D]$ endowed with the D -adic topology, i.e., the family of basic neighborhoods of zero is given by principal ideals (D^n) , $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON CONFORMAL ALGEBRAS

2.1. Conformal linear maps. Let V_1, V_2 be left (unital) H -modules, and let $T_i \in \text{End } V_i$ represent D in V_i , $i = 1, 2$. A $\mathbb{k}$ -linear function

$$a : H \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V_1, V_2)$$

is said to be a *conformal homomorphism* from V_1 to V_2 [11, 14] if:

- (i) a is continuous with respect to the D -adic topology on H and the finite topology (see, e.g., [20]) on $\text{Hom}(V_1, V_2)$;
- (ii) a is translation-invariant with respect to the operators T_1, T_2 , i.e., $a(f)T_1 = T_2a(f) + a(f')$ for any $f \in H$ (hereinafter, $f' = \partial_D f$ is the usual derivative).

Hereinafter, $\text{Hom}(U, V)$ denotes the set of all $\mathbb{k}$ -linear maps from U to V .

Remark 2.1. It is clear that if $\dim V_1 < \infty$, then the only conformal homomorphism from V_1 to V_2 is zero. Therefore, the exponential $e^{\alpha T_1}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{k}$, is usually undefined. However, one may always interpret (ii) as follows:

$$e^{-\alpha T_2} a(f(D)) e^{\alpha T_1} = a(f(D + \alpha)), \quad f \in H.$$

Let $\text{Chom}(V_1, V_2)$ stands for the set of all conformal homomorphisms from V_1 to V_2 . We will write $a(n)$ for $a(D^n)$ and $a \circ_n u$ for $a(n)u$, $a \in \text{Chom}(V_1, V_2)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $u \in V_1$. By definition, we have

$$a \circ_n u = 0 \quad \text{for } n \text{ sufficiently large,} \quad (2.1)$$

$$a \circ_n T_1 u = T_2(a \circ_n u) + na \circ_{n-1} u. \quad (2.2)$$

These properties are equivalent to (i) and (ii) above.

If $V_1 = V_2 = V$ then $\text{Chom}(V_1, V_2)$ is denoted by $\text{Cend } V$.

One can define the structure of a left H -module on $\text{Chom}(V_1, V_2)$ by

$$(Da)(f) = -a(f'), \quad f \in H. \quad (2.3)$$

If we have three H -modules V_1, V_2, V_3 , then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ an operation

$$(\cdot \circ_n \cdot) : \text{Chom}(V_2, V_3) \otimes \text{Chom}(V_1, V_2) \rightarrow \text{Chom}(V_1, V_3) \quad (2.4)$$

could be defined by the rule

$$(a \circ_n b)(m) = \sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s \binom{n}{s} a(n-s)b(m+s), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (2.5)$$

It is easy to see that

$$Da \circ_n b = -na \circ_{n-1} b, \quad a \circ_n Db = D(a \circ_n b) + na \circ_{n-1} b. \quad (2.6)$$

Moreover, if V_1 is a finitely generated H -module then for any $a \in \text{Chom}(V_2, V_3)$, $b \in \text{Chom}(V_1, V_2)$

$$a \circ_n b = 0 \quad \text{for } n \text{ sufficiently large} \quad (2.7)$$

(see [11, 14]).

Definition 2.2 ([11, 14]). A *conformal algebra* C is a left H -module endowed with a family of $\mathbb{k}$ -linear maps

$$(\cdot \circ_n \cdot) : C \otimes C \rightarrow C, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

satisfying (2.6), (2.7).

Axioms (2.6) and (2.7) are known as *sesqui-linearity* and *locality*, respectively. The function $N : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ given by

$$N(a, b) = \min\{n \geq 0 \mid a \circ_m b = 0 \text{ for all } m \geq n\}, \quad a, b \in C,$$

is called the *locality function* on C .

If C is a finitely generated H -module, then C is said to be a conformal algebra of *finite type* (or *finite conformal algebra*).

A conformal algebra C is called *associative* if [11, 21]

$$(a \circ_n b) \circ_m c = \sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s \binom{n}{s} a \circ_{n-s} (b \circ_{m+s} c) \quad (2.8)$$

for any $a, b, c \in C$, $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

For two subsets A, B of a conformal algebra C , denote

$$A \circ_\omega B = \left\{ \sum_i a_i \circ_{n_i} b_i \mid a_i \in A, b_i \in B, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right\}.$$

The same notation will be used in other cases when we will work with a family of binary operations $(\cdot \circ_n \cdot)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

It is clear how to define what is a subalgebra or left/right ideal of a conformal algebra C , and what does it mean that C (or an ideal of C) is simple, nilpotent, solvable, prime or semiprime. In particular, C is semiprime if for any non-zero ideal I of C we have $I \circ_\omega I \neq 0$. Such conformal algebras are often called semisimple in the literature (see, e.g., [11, 13, 14, 17]). In this paper, we will also use this terminology.

Definition 2.3 ([11, 22]). Let C be an associative conformal algebra, and let V be an H -module. A *representation* of C on V is an H -linear map

$$\rho : C \rightarrow \text{Cend } V$$

such that $\rho(a \circ_n b) = \rho(a) \circ_n \rho(b)$ for any $a, b \in C$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. If C has a representation on V then V is called a (conformal) C -module; if V is a finitely generated H -module then ρ is said to be a representation of *finite type* (or *finite representation*).

If V is a finitely generated H -module then $\text{Cend } V$ endowed with the operations (2.3), (2.5) is an associative conformal algebra. If an associative conformal algebra C has a finite faithful (i.e., injective) representation on V , then C could be identified with a conformal subalgebra of $\text{Cend } V$. Such conformal algebras were studied, for example, in [11, 12, 13, 23], and they are the main objects of the present work.

2.2. Correspondence between subalgebras of $\text{End } V$ and $\text{Cend } V$. Let us first recall the following notation [11, 24]. If C is a conformal algebra, $a, b \in C$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then

$$\{a \circ_n b\} = \sum_{s \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n+s}}{s!} D^s (a \circ_{n+s} b). \quad (2.9)$$

Relations (2.6) imply

$$\{a \circ_n Db\} = -n\{a \circ_{n-1} b\}, \quad \{Da \circ_n b\} = D\{a \circ_n b\} + n\{a \circ_{n-1} b\}.$$

Moreover, if C is associative then [11, 24]:

$$a \circ_n \{b \circ_m c\} = \{(a \circ_n b) \circ_m c\}; \quad (2.10)$$

$$\{a \circ_n (b \circ_m c)\} = \sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s \binom{m}{s} \{\{a \circ_{m-s} b\} \circ_{n+s} c\}; \quad (2.11)$$

$$\{a \circ_n \{b \circ_m c\}\} = \sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s \binom{m}{s} \{\{a \circ_{n+s} b\} \circ_{m-s} c\}; \quad (2.12)$$

$$\{a \circ_n b\} \circ_m c = \sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s \binom{n}{s} a \circ_{m+s} (b \circ_{n-s} c). \quad (2.13)$$

Now, let V be a finitely generated H -module, $T \in \text{End } V$ represents the action of D . Consider a correspondence between conformal subalgebras of $\text{Cend } V$ and ordinary subalgebras of $\text{End } V$.

For a subset $S \subseteq \text{End } V$ define $\mathcal{F}(S)$ as the set of all $a \in \text{Cend } V$ such that $a(f) \in S$ for all $f \in H$. For any subset $C \subseteq \text{Cend } V$, define $\mathcal{A}(C) = \{a(f) \mid a \in C, f \in H\} \subseteq \text{End } V$. If S is a subalgebra of $\text{End } V$, then $\mathcal{F}(S)$ is a conformal subalgebra of $\text{Cend } V$. If C is a conformal subalgebra of $\text{Cend } V$ then $\mathcal{A}(C)$ is an ordinary subalgebra of $\text{End } V$. Indeed, it follows from (2.3) that $\mathcal{A}(C)$ is a subspace, and (2.5) implies

$$a(n)b(m) = \sum_{s \geq 0} \binom{n}{s} (a \circ_{n-s} b)(m+s), \quad a, b \in C, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (2.14)$$

Note that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}(S)) \subseteq S$, $C \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(C))$. Denote $\tilde{C} = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(C))$.

Lemma 2.4 ([13, Proposition 3.7]). *Let C be a conformal subalgebra of $\text{Cend } V$, and let $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a sequence of operators in $\mathcal{A}(C)$ such that $a_n T - T a_n = n a_{n-1}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ in the sense of finite topology on $\text{End } V$ then there exists $a \in \tilde{C}$ such that $a(n) = a_n$.*

Lemma 2.5 (c.f. [13, Proposition 3.10]). *Let C be a conformal subalgebra of $\text{Cend } V$.*

(i) $S = \mathcal{A}(C)$ acts on C as on S - S -bimodule by the rule

$$a(n) \cdot b = a \circ_n b, \quad b \cdot a(n) = \{b \circ_n a\}$$

for $a, b \in C$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$;

(ii) C is a two-sided ideal of $\tilde{C}$, and $\tilde{C} \circ_\omega \tilde{C} \subseteq C$.

Proof. (i) It is sufficient to check that the action is correctly defined. Associativity of the action follows from (2.5), (2.10), (2.12), (2.14).

If $a(n) = 0$ for some $a \in \text{Cend } V$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $a = D^{n+1}x$ for an appropriate $x \in \text{Cend } V$. Suppose $a(n) = a'(n')$ for some $a, a' \in C$, $n, n' \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We may also assume that $n' \leq n$, so that for

$$x = a - \frac{n'!}{n!} (-D)^{n-n'} a' \in C$$

we have $x(n) = a(n) - a'(n') = 0$, and $x = D^{n+1}y$. Therefore, for any $b \in C$ we have $0 = x \circ_n b = a \circ_n b - a' \circ_{n'} b$

(ii) It follows from (i) that $\tilde{C} \circ_\omega C, \{C \circ_\omega \tilde{C}\} \subseteq C$. Locality axiom (2.7) implies that $C \circ_\omega \tilde{C} \subseteq C$ as well. Since for any $x \in \tilde{C}$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ there exists $a \in C$ such that $x - a \in D^{n+1} \text{Cend } V$, we conclude $\tilde{C} \circ_\omega \tilde{C} \subseteq C$. $\square$

Definition 2.6. Let V be a finitely generated H -module, and let S be a subalgebra of $\text{End } V$. If $S = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}(S))$, then we say that S satisfies *TC-condition*, or that S is a *TC-subalgebra* of $\text{End } V$.

The most important example appears in the case when V is a free H -module. Consider the space $V_n = \mathbb{k}[t] \otimes \mathbb{k}^n$ as an H -module with respect to the representation $D \mapsto T$, $T : f \otimes u \mapsto tf \otimes u$, $f \in \mathbb{k}[t]$, $u \in \mathbb{k}^n$. The maximal TC-subalgebra of $\text{End } V_n$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}(\text{End } V_n)) = W \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{k}^n \simeq M_n(W),$$

where W the subalgebra of $\text{End } \mathbb{k}[t]$ generated by $p : f \mapsto tf$ and $q : f \mapsto f'$, $f \in \mathbb{k}[t]$ (i.e., W is isomorphic to the first Weyl algebra A_1).

Denote $\text{Cend } V_n$ by Cend_n . For a fixed basis of V_n over H , there is an isomorphism between conformal algebras Cend_n and $M_n(\mathbb{k}[D, v]) \simeq H \otimes M_n(\mathbb{k}[v])$ [11, 13, 23]. Here v is just a formal variable, an element

$$a = \sum_{s=0}^m \frac{(-D)^s}{s!} \otimes A_s(v) \in H \otimes M_n(\mathbb{k}[v])$$

acts on V_n as

$$a(k) = \sum_{s=0}^m \binom{k}{s} A_s(p) q^{k-s} \in M_n(W), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

and the operations (2.5) are given by

$$(1 \otimes A) \circ_k (1 \otimes B) = 1 \otimes A \partial_v^k(B),$$

$A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{k}[v])$. From now on, we will identify Cend_n with $M_n(\mathbb{k}[D, v])$.

Example 2.7. The set of matrices $S_0 = M_n(\mathbb{k}[q]) \subset \text{End } V_n$ is a TC-subalgebra. The corresponding conformal algebra $\mathcal{F}(S_0) = M_n(\mathbb{k}[D])$ is denoted by Cur_n .

Example 2.8. If $Q(p) \in M_n(\mathbb{k}[p])$, then $W_{n,Q} = M_n(W)Q(p) \subseteq \text{End } V_n$ is a TC-subalgebra, and $\text{Cend}_{n,Q} = \mathcal{F}(W_{n,Q}) = \text{Cend}_n Q(v - D)$ is a conformal subalgebra (even a left ideal) of Cend_n . If $\det Q(p) \neq 0$ then $\text{Cend}_{n,Q}$ is a simple conformal algebra [12].

Theorem 2.9 ([13]). *Let C be an associative conformal algebra with a finite faithful representation. If C is simple, then C is isomorphic either to Cur_n or to $\text{Cend}_{n,Q}$, $n \geq 1$, $\det Q \neq 0$. If C is semisimple, then C is a finite direct sum of simple ones.*

It was also shown in [13] that an arbitrary associative conformal algebra C with a finite faithful representation has the maximal nilpotent ideal (radical) $\text{Rad}(C)$, although C is not necessarily Noetherian. The following proposition gathers additional information on the structure of such conformal algebras.

Proposition 2.10. *Let C be an associative conformal algebra with a finite faithful representation, and let $R = \text{Rad}(C)$. Then*

- (i) C/R has a finite faithful representation;
- (ii) there exist a finite number of prime ideals of C . The intersection of these ideals is equal to R .

Proof. (i) Suppose $C \subseteq \text{Cend } V$, $\text{rank } V < \infty$, $N = \mathcal{A}(R) \subseteq \text{End } V$. There exists $m \geq 1$ such that $N^m = 0$. Then the finitely generated H -module

$$U = V/NV \oplus NV/N^2V \oplus \dots \oplus N^{m-2}V/N^{m-1}V \oplus N^{m-1}V$$

is also a C -module. Since R is a maximal nilpotent ideal, the annihilator of U in C coincides with R , so U is a faithful C/R -module.

(ii) This is a general fact that the prime radical of an associative conformal algebra C (defined as the minimal nil-ideal B such that C/B has no non-zero nilpotent ideals) is equal to the intersection of prime ideals: one may follow the proof from [25] slightly adjusted for conformal algebras. By Theorem 2.9, $B = R$ and C/R has a finite number of ideals, so there exist only a finite number of prime ideals of C . $\square$

3. RADICAL SPLITTING PROBLEM

3.1. Lifting of special elements. An element e of a conformal algebra C is called an idempotent if $e \circ_n e = \delta_{n,0}e$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ [18]. Two idempotents $e_1, e_2 \in C$ are mutually orthogonal if $e_1 \circ_\omega e_2 = e_2 \circ_\omega e_1 = 0$. An idempotent $e \in C$ is said to be a (conformal) unit if $e \circ_0 x = x$ for any $x \in C$ [23]. For example, the conformal algebra $\text{Cur}_n \simeq M_n(\mathbb{k}[D]) \subset \text{Cend}_n$ contains a (canonical) unit corresponding to the identity matrix $\text{Id}_n \in M_n(\mathbb{k}[D])$. In general, a conformal unit is not unique: e.g., any element of the form $Q^{-1}(v)Q(v-D)$, $\det Q \in \mathbb{k} \setminus \{0\}$, is a unit of Cend_n .

The structure of unital associative conformal algebras was considered in details in [23, 26]. Unfortunately, it is not clear how to join a unit to an arbitrary conformal algebra.

Throughout this section, C is an associative conformal algebra, I is a nilpotent ideal of C .

Lemma 3.1.

- (i) If $\bar{e} \in C/I$ satisfies the condition $\bar{e} \circ_0 \bar{e} = \bar{e}$ then there exists $e \in C$ such that $e + I = \bar{e}$, $e \circ_0 e = e$.
- (ii) Suppose that C contains a unit e_0 and there exist $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_N \in C/I$ satisfying the conditions $\bar{e}_i \circ_0 \bar{e}_i = \bar{e}_i$, $\{\bar{e}_i \circ_0 \bar{e}_0\} = \bar{e}_i$, $\bar{e}_i \circ_n \bar{e}_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$, $n \geq 0$, $i, j = 1, \dots, N$. Then there exist $e_1, \dots, e_N \in C$ such that $e_i + I = \bar{e}_i$, $\{e_i \circ_0 e_0\} = \bar{e}_i$, $e_i \circ_0 e_i = e_i$, $e_i \circ_0 e_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, N$.

Proof. (i) This statement was proved in [18]. The idea is similar to the lifting of idempotents in ordinary algebras, see, e.g., [2].

(ii) Let $N = 1$. If e'_1 is a preimage of $\bar{e}_1$ given by (i), then $e_1 = \{e'_1 \circ_0 e_0\}$ satisfies the required conditions.

Assume the statement holds for some $N \geq 1$, and let we are given $N+1$ elements $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_N, \bar{e}_{N+1} \in C/I$ as above. Suppose we have found $e_1, \dots, e_N \in C$, satisfying the required conditions. Consider the element $f = e_0 - e_1 - \dots - e_N \in C$ and the subalgebra $C_0 = f \circ_0 \{C \circ_0 f\} \subseteq C$ with the nilpotent ideal $I_0 = C_0 \cap I$. Note that $\{f \circ_0 e_0\} = f$, $f \circ_0 e_i = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Since $\bar{e}_{N+1} \in C_0/I_0 \subseteq C/I$, there exists $e_{N+1} \in C_0$ such that $e_{N+1} \circ_0 e_{N+1} = e_{N+1}$. Presentation $e_{N+1} = f \circ_0 \{y \circ_0 f\}$, $y \in C$, shows that $\{e_{N+1} \circ_0 e_0\} = e_{N+1}$ and $e_{N+1} \circ_0 e_i = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Moreover, for any $a \in C$ we have $(e_i \circ_0 f) \circ_0 a = (e_i \circ_0 e_0 - e_i) \circ_0 (e_0 \circ_0 a) = 0$. Therefore, $e_i \circ_0 e_{N+1} = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. $\square$

Lemma 3.2 (c.f. [18]).

- (i) If $\bar{e} \in C/I$ is an idempotent then there exists an idempotent $e \in C$ such that $e + I = \bar{e}$.
- (ii) Suppose that C contains a unit e_0 and there exists a family of pairwise mutually orthogonal idempotents $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_N \in C/I$ (i.e., $\bar{e}_i \circ_n \bar{e}_j = \delta_{n,0}\delta_{i,j}\bar{e}_j$) such that $\{\bar{e}_i \circ_0 \bar{e}_0\} = \bar{e}_i$. Then there exist pairwise mutually orthogonal idempotents $e_1, \dots, e_N \in C$ such that $e_i + I = \bar{e}_i$.

Proof. (i) It was shown in [18]. One may also use the idea of the proof of Proposition 3.4 below.

(ii) First, let us find $f_i \in C$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, by Lemma 3.1(ii): $f_i \circ_0 f_j = \delta_{i,j}f_j$, $\{f_i \circ_0 e_0\} = f_i$, $f_i + I = \bar{e}_i$. Note that for any $i = 1, \dots, N$ subalgebra $C_i = f_i \circ_0 \{C \circ_0 f_i\}$ contains a preimage of $\bar{e}_i$. For every $i = 1, \dots, N$, apply (i) to the algebra C_i with the nilpotent ideal $I \cap C_i$ and find idempotents $e_i \in C_i$,

$e_i \in f_i + I$. Present $e_k = f_k \circ_0 \{e'_k \circ_0 f_k\}$, $k = 1, \dots, N$. It is easy to see that $f_i \circ_0 e_j = f_i \circ_0 f_j \circ_0 \{e'_j \circ_0 f_j\} = 0$ and $e_i \circ_n e_j = f_i \circ_0 (e'_i \circ_n (f_i \circ_0 e_j)) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let C be a conformal algebra with a unit e , and assume that there exists $\bar{x} \in C/I$ such that $\bar{x} \circ_0 \bar{e} = \bar{x}$, $\bar{e} \circ_1 \bar{x} = \bar{e}$. Then there exists a preimage $x \in C$ of $\bar{x}$ such that $x \circ_0 e = x$, $e \circ_1 x = e$.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in C$ be a preimage of $\bar{x}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $x_0 \circ_0 e = x_0$ and $I^2 = 0$. Suppose that $N(e, x_0) \geq 3$, where $N(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the locality function on C . Consider

$$x_1 = x_0 - \frac{1}{n}(x_0 \circ_1 x_0 - x_0), \quad n = N(e, x_0) - 1 \geq 2.$$

It is straightforward to check that $x_1 \circ_0 e = x_1$ and $N(e, x_1) \leq n$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} e \circ_n x_1 &= \frac{n+1}{n} e \circ_n x_0 - \frac{1}{n} e \circ_n (x_0 \circ_1 x_0) \\ &= \frac{n+1}{n} e \circ_n x_0 - \frac{1}{n} (e \circ_n x_0) \circ_1 x_0 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n-2} \binom{n}{s} (e \circ_{n-s} x_0) \circ_{s+1} x_0 - (e \circ_1 x_0) \circ_n x_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Since $(e \circ_m x_0) \circ_k x_0 = (e \circ_m x_0) \circ_0 (e \circ_k x_0)$ and $e \circ_1 x_0 = e + a$, $a \in I$, we conclude that the right-hand side of (3.1) is equal to zero. In the same way, one may show that $e \circ_m x_1 = 0$ for any $m > n$.

Therefore, if we choose a preimage $y \in C$ of $\bar{x}$ such that $y \circ_0 e = y$ and $N(e, y)$ is minimal, then $N(e, y) = 2$. Suppose $e \circ_1 y = e + b$, $b \in I$. Since $e \circ_2 y = e \circ_1 (e \circ_1 y) = e \circ_1 e + e \circ_1 b = 0$, we have $e \circ_1 b = y \circ_1 b = 0$. Moreover, $b \circ_0 e = b$. Hence,

$$(e \circ_1 y) \circ_0 (e - b) = e \circ_1 (y - y \circ_0 b), \quad (e + b) \circ_0 (e - b) = e,$$

and $x = y - y \circ_0 b$ satisfies the conditions $e \circ_1 x = e$, $x \circ_0 e = x$.

If $I^\nu = 0$ for $\nu > 2$, then the lifting of x could be done by induction, using the sequence

$$C \rightarrow C/I^{\nu-1} \rightarrow C/I^{\nu-2} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C/I^2 \rightarrow C/I,$$

as usual. $\square$

3.2. Unital case: splitting of radical. Let us fix a finitely generated H -module V , a conformal subalgebra C of $\text{Cend } V$, a nilpotent ideal I of C , and let $R = \text{Rad}(C)$ be the maximal nilpotent ideal of C .

Proposition 3.4. *Assume C/I contains a subalgebra $\bar{S}$ isomorphic to Cur_N for some $N \geq 1$. Then the preimage of $\bar{S}$ in C contains a subalgebra S isomorphic to Cur_N .*

Proof. Let $\bar{e}$ be the canonical unit of $\bar{S}$. By Lemma 3.2(i) there exists an idempotent $e \in C$ which is a preimage of $\bar{e}$. If $N = 1$ then the H -span of e is isomorphic to Cur_1 . If $N > 1$ then consider the subalgebra $C_e = e \circ_0 \{C \circ_0 e\} \subseteq C$ which is unital. Moreover, $\bar{S} \subseteq C_e/I \cap C_e$, so $C_e/I \cap C_e$ contains a family of pairwise mutually orthogonal idempotents $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_N \in \bar{S}$ corresponding to diagonal matrix

units of $M_N(\mathbb{k})$. We may apply Lemma 3.2(ii) to find orthogonal idempotents $e_1, \dots, e_N \in C_e$.

Our aim is to build a system of (conformal) matrix units in C , i.e., a family of $e_{ij} \in C$, $i, j = 1, \dots, N$, such that

$$e_{ij} \circ_n e_{kl} = \delta_{n,0} \delta_{j,k} e_{il}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Let us choose some preimages $v_{1j}, v_{i1} \in C_e$, $i, j = 2, \dots, N$, of the corresponding matrix units. We may assume $e_1 \circ_0 v_{1j} \circ_0 e_j = v_{1j}$, $e_i \circ_0 v_{i1} \circ_0 e_1 = v_{i1}$. Since

$$v_{1i} \circ_0 v_{i1} = e_1 + a_i, \quad a_i \in I \cap C_e,$$

and a_i is nilpotent with respect to the 0-product, we may find $b_i = -a_i + a_i \circ_0 a_i - a_i \circ_0 a_i \circ_0 a_i + \dots \in I \cap C_e$ such that $a_i + b_i + a_i \circ_0 b_i = 0$ and $e_1 \circ_0 b_i \circ_0 e_1 = b_i$. Then the elements

$$f_{1j} = v_{1j}, \quad f_{i1} = v_{i1} + v_{i1} \circ_0 b_i, \quad i, j = 2, \dots, N, \quad (3.3)$$

satisfy the following relations:

$$e_1 \circ_n e_1 = \delta_{n,0} e_1, \quad e_1 \circ_0 f_{1j} = f_{1j}, \quad f_{i1} \circ_0 e_1 = f_{i1}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$f_{1j} \circ_n f_{i1} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j, \quad n \geq 0, \quad f_{1i} \circ_0 f_{i1} = e_1, \quad (3.5)$$

$$f_{1j} \circ_n f_{i1} = f_{i1} \circ_n f_{j1} = 0, \quad n \geq 0 \quad (3.6)$$

$$f_{i1} \circ_n e_1 = f_{1j} \circ_n e_j = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 1. \quad (3.7)$$

Denote $V_j = f_j \circ_0 V \subseteq V$, $C_{ij} = e_i \circ_0 \{C \circ_0 e_j\}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, N$. It is easy to see that $C_{ij} \subseteq \text{Chom}(V_j, V_i)$, $e_i \in C_{ii}$, $f_{1j} \in C_{1j}$, $f_{i1} \in C_{i1}$. Let $S_{ij} = \mathcal{A}(C_{ij}) \equiv \{a(n) \mid a \in C_{ij}, n \geq 0\} \subseteq \text{Hom}(V_j, V_i)$. Note that S_{ii} is a TC-subalgebra of $\text{End } V_i$ and S_{ij} is an S_{ii} - S_{jj} -bimodule. Moreover, $S_{ij} S_{jk} \subseteq S_{ik}$.

Let us fix $j \in \{2, \dots, N\}$ and consider the sequence of operators

$$b(n) = (f_{j1}(1) f_{1j}(0))^n \in S_{jj}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (3.8)$$

For any $n \geq 0$ there exists $d_n \in I \cap C_{jj}$ such that $b(n) - e_j(n) = d_n(n)$. Therefore,

$$(b(n) - e_j(n))((I \cap C_{jj})^k \circ_\omega V_j) \subset (C_{jj} \cap I)^{k+1} \circ_\omega V_j.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_j(n) = 0$ in the sense of finite topology, for any $u \in V_j$ there exists $n_1 \geq 0$ such that $u_1 = b(n)u \in (C_{jj} \cap I) \circ_\omega V_j$ for $n \geq n_1$. In the same way, there exists $n_2 \geq 0$ such that $b(n)u_1 \in (C_{jj} \cap I)^2 \circ_\omega V_j$ for $n \geq n_2$, and so on. Since $b(n)b(m) = b_{n+m}$, we conclude that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b(n) = 0$. Moreover, $[b(n), D] = nb(n-1)$, so by Lemma 2.4 there exists an element $h_j \in \tilde{C}_{jj}$ such that $h_j(n) = b(n)$. Since C_{jj} is unital, $h_j \in C_{jj}$ by Proposition 2.5(ii). Moreover, $(h_j - e_j)(n) = d_n(n)$, so there exists $\Delta_n \in C_{jj}$ such that $h_j - e_j = d_n + D^{n+1} \Delta_n$. Hence, $\{e_j \circ_n (h_j - e_j)\} \in I$ for any $n \geq 0$, and $e_j \circ_n (h_j - e_j) \in I$ because of locality. In particular, $e_j \circ_0 (e_j - h_j) = e_j - h_j \in I$.

Let $e_{1j} = f_{1j} \circ_0 h_j$. It is clear that $e_{1j} - f_{1j} \in I$. Remind that $e_1 = f_{1j} \circ_0 f_{j1}$ and $e_1(m) = e_1(1)^m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1j} \ni (e_1 \circ_n e_{1j})(m) &= \sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s \binom{n}{s} e_1(n-s) e_{1j}(m+s) \\ &= \sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s \binom{n}{s} (f_{1j}(0) f_{j1}(1))^{n-s} f_{1j}(0) (f_{j1}(1) f_{1j}(0))^{m+s} \\ &= \sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s \binom{n}{s} f_{1j}(0) (f_{j1}(1) f_{1j}(0))^{n+m} = \begin{cases} 0, & n \geq 1; \\ e_{1j}(m), & n = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Hence, $e_1 \circ_n e_{1j} = \delta_{n,0} e_{1j}$. Moreover, since $f_{j1}(m) = f_{j1}(0) e_1(m)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{1j} \circ_n f_{j1})(m) &= \sum_{s \geq 0} \binom{n}{s} e_{1j}(n-s) f_{j1}(m+s) \\ &= \sum_{s \geq 0} \binom{n}{s} f_{1j}(0) (f_{j1}(1) f_{1j}(0))^{n-s} f_{j1}(0) (f_{1j}(0) f_{j1}(1))^{m+s} \\ &= \sum_{s \geq 0} \binom{n}{s} (f_{1j}(0) f_{j1}(1))^{n+m} = \begin{cases} 0, & n \geq 1; \\ e_1(m), & n = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Therefore, $e_{1j} \circ_n f_{j1} = \delta_{n,0} e_1$.

Now it is clear that

$$e_{1j}, \quad e_{ij} = f_{i1} \circ_0 e_{1j}, \quad e_{i1} = f_{i1}, \quad i, j = 2, \dots, N,$$

is a system of matrix units in C . The H -linear span of $\{e_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, \dots, N\}$ is a conformal algebra $S \subseteq C$ isomorphic to $\overline{S}$. $\square$

Proposition 3.5. *Assume C/I contains a subalgebra $\overline{S}$ isomorphic to Cend_N for some $N \geq 1$. Then the preimage of $\overline{S}$ in C contains a subalgebra S isomorphic to Cend_N .*

Proof. By Proposition 3.4 there exists a subalgebra $S_0 \subset C$ isomorphic to $\text{Cur}_N \subset \text{Cend}_N$. Let $e_{11} \in S_0$ be the preimage of the corresponding matrix unit $I_{11} \in \text{Cend}_N$. The subalgebra $C_1 = e_{11} \circ_0 \{C \circ_0 e_{11}\}$ is unital and contains a preimage of the element $\bar{x}_1 \in C/I$ corresponding to $vI_{11} \in \text{Cend}_N$. By Lemma 3.3 there exists $x_1 \in C_1$ such that $e_{11} \circ_1 x_1 = e_{11}$, $x_1 \circ_0 e_{11} = e_{11}$. Denote

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^N e_{i1} \circ_0 x_1 \circ_0 e_{1i} \in C,$$

where e_{1i}, e_{i1} are the preimages of the corresponding matrix units constructed by Proposition 3.4. The element x is a preimage of $v \text{Id}_N \in M_N(\mathbb{k}[D, v]) \simeq \text{Cend}_N$. It is straightforward to check that

$$e_{ij} \circ_0 x = x \circ_0 e_{ij}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$e_{ij} \circ_1 x = e_{ij}, \quad e_{ij} \circ_n x = 0, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (3.12)$$

$$x \circ_n e_{ij} = 0, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\sum_i e_{ii} \circ_0 x = \sum_i x \circ_0 e_{ii} = x. \quad (3.14)$$

These are the defining relations of the algebra Cend_N . Since Cend_N is simple, the conformal algebra generated in C by $S_0 \cup \{x\}$ is isomorphic to Cend_N . $\square$

Theorem 3.6. *Let C be a conformal subalgebra of $\text{Cend } V$, and let $R = \text{Rad}(C)$ be the maximal nilpotent ideal of C . If C/R contains a unit then there exists a semisimple subalgebra S of C such that $C = S \oplus R$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.10 that

$$C/R \simeq \bigoplus_i \overline{C}_i, \quad \overline{C}_i \simeq \text{Cend}_{n_i} \text{ or } \overline{C}_i \simeq \text{Cur}_{n_i}.$$

Consider the canonical units $\bar{e}_i$ of $\overline{C}_i$, then their sum $\bar{e} = \sum_i \bar{e}_i$ is a unit of C/R . Let $e \in C$ be the idempotent (preimage of $\bar{e}$) constructed by Lemma 3.2(i). The analogue of Pierce decomposition

$$C = e \circ_0 \{C \circ_0 e\} \oplus (1 - e) \circ_0 \{C \circ_0 e\} \\ \oplus e \circ_0 \{C \circ_0 (1 - e)\} \oplus (1 - e) \circ_0 \{C \circ_0 (1 - e)\} \quad (3.15)$$

could be constructed (see [18]). Here $(1 - e) \circ_0 a = a - e \circ_0 a$, $\{a \circ_0 (1 - e)\} = a - \{a \circ_0 e\}$. The first summand $C_0 = e \circ_0 \{C \circ_0 e\}$ in (3.15) is a unital conformal algebra, and $C_0/R \cap C_0 \simeq C/R$. It is sufficient to show that C_0 could be presented as $S \oplus (R \cap C_0)$.

By Lemma 3.2(ii), there exist orthogonal idempotents $e_i \in C_0$ such that $e_i + I = \bar{e}_i$. If $\overline{C}_i \simeq \text{Cur}_{n_i}$ then the subalgebra $C_i = e_i \circ_0 \{C_0 \circ_0 e_i\}$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.4. If $\overline{C}_i \simeq \text{Cend}_{n_i}$ then one may apply Proposition 3.5. In any case, there exist $S_i \subseteq C_i \subseteq C_0$ such that $S_i \simeq \overline{C}_i$. The direct sum $S = \bigoplus_i S_i$ is a subalgebra of C_0 isomorphic to C/R . Therefore, $C_0 = S \oplus (C_0 \cap R)$ and $C = S \oplus R$. $\square$

Remark 3.7. Although it is unknown whether an arbitrary finite associative conformal algebra is a subalgebra of $\text{Cend } V$ for a finitely generated H -module V , it is possible to derive the radical splitting theorem for finite conformal algebras [18] from Theorem 3.6. Indeed, if C is a finite associative algebra, $I = \{a \in C \mid a \circ_\omega C = 0\}$, then $C/I \subseteq \text{Cend } C$. Since $I \circ_\omega C = 0$, it is easy to raise the semisimple part of C/I into C .

Corollary 3.8. *Let V be a finitely generated H -module, and let $A \subseteq \text{End } V$ be a TC-subalgebra.*

- (i) *If A is semiprime then A is a finite direct sum of algebras isomorphic either to $M_n(\mathbb{k}[q])$ or $M_n(A_1)Q(p)$, $Q = \text{diag}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is a matrix in the canonical diagonal form, $\det Q \neq 0$.*
- (ii) *The Jacobson radical $J(A)$ of A is nilpotent. The semisimple image $A/J(A)$ is also a TC-subalgebra of $\text{End } U$ for an appropriate finitely generated H -module U .*
- (iii) *If $A/J(A)$ contains a unit then there exists a semisimple TC-subalgebra $B \subseteq \text{End } V$ such that $A = B \oplus J(A)$.*

Proof. Statement (i) follows directly from Theorem 2.9 and Definition 2.6: if A is semiprime then $\mathcal{F}(A) \subseteq \text{Cend } V$ is semiprime.

(ii) Let $C = \mathcal{F}(A)$, $R = \text{Rad}(C)$, $N = \mathcal{A}(R) \subseteq A$. Since N is a nilpotent ideal, $N \subseteq J(A)$. Let U be the faithful finite C/R -module built by Proposition 2.10(i).

Then $C/R \subseteq \text{Cend } U$ and $\mathcal{A}(C/R) \subseteq \text{End } U$ is a TC-subalgebra. It is straightforward to show that

$$A/N \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(C/R), \quad a(n) + N \mapsto (a + R)(n), \quad a \in C, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

is a well-defined isomorphism of algebras. Thus, A/N is semisimple and $J(A) = N$.

Now (iii) easily follows from Theorem 3.6. $\square$

3.3. General case: a counterexample. Let us show that the unitality condition in Theorem 3.6 is essential. Consider the following subset of Cend_2 :

$$C = \mathbb{k}[v - D] \{a(f, g) \mid f(v), g(v) \in \mathbb{k}[v]\}. \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$a(f, g) = \begin{pmatrix} v^2 f(v) & v^2 f(v) + v^2 g(v)(v - D)^2 \\ 0 & f(v)(v - D)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is straightforward to check that

$$\begin{aligned} a(f_1, g_1) \circ_n a(f_2, g_2) \\ = a(f_1(v^2 f_2)^{(n)}, f_1(v^2 g_2)^{(n)} + f_1 f_2^{(n)} + g_1(v^2 f_2)^{(n)}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Moreover, for any $h \in \mathbb{k}[v]$ we have $h(v)a(f, g) = a(hf, hg)$, thus, $DC \subseteq C$. Therefore, C is a conformal subalgebra of Cend_2 , and $\mathbb{k}[v]C = C$.

Lemma 3.9. $\text{Rad}(C) = \mathbb{k}[v - D]\{a(0, g) \mid g \in \mathbb{k}[v]\}$.

Proof. Relation “ $\supseteq$ ” is obvious. The converse is also true: assuming $a = \sum_k (v - D)^k a(f_k, g_k) \in \text{Rad}(C)$ we obtain that $\sum_k (v - D)^k v^2 f_k(v) \in \text{Cend}_1$ is nilpotent. But Cend_1 contains no non-zero nilpotent elements, so $f_k = 0$ for any k . $\square$

Lemma 3.10. $C/\text{Rad}(C) \simeq \text{Cend}_{1, v^2}$.

Proof. Let us define the map

$$\theta : C \rightarrow \text{Cend}_1, \quad \sum_k (v - D)^k a(f_k, g_k) \mapsto \sum_k f_k(v)(v - D)^{k+2}.$$

This is a well-defined homomorphism of conformal algebras, $\text{Im } \theta = \text{Cend}_{1, v^2}$, and it follows from Lemma 3.9 that $\text{Ker } \theta = \text{Rad}(C)$. $\square$

Suppose the radical splitting property holds for the conformal algebra C , i.e., there exists a conformal subalgebra $S \subseteq C$ such that $C = S \oplus \text{Rad}(C)$, $S \simeq \text{Cend}_{1, v^2}$. For any $f(v)(v - D)^2 \in \text{Cend}_{1, v^2}$, consider its preimage $x(f)$ in S . This element can be written as

$$x(f) = \begin{pmatrix} v^2 f(v) & v^2 f(v) + v^2 g(v, D)(v - D)^2 \\ 0 & f(v)(v - D)^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.18)$$

where $g(v, D)$ is uniquely defined by f . Therefore, we may define a linear map

$$\psi : \mathbb{k}[v] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[v, D], \quad \psi(f) = g.$$

Since S is assumed to be a subalgebra, ψ has to satisfy the following relation:

$$\psi(f_1 \circ_n v^2 f_2) = f_1 \circ_n f_2 + \psi(f_1) \circ_n v^2 f_2 + f_1 \circ_n v^2 \psi(f_2). \quad (3.19)$$

In particular, ψ is completely defined by the value $\psi(1)$.

As a generic polynomial, $\psi(1)$ can be uniquely written as

$$\psi(1) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k(v)(v - D)^k. \quad (3.20)$$

Since $\psi(1 \circ_2 v^2) = 2\psi(1)$, we have

$$2\left(\sum_{k \geq 0} a_k(v)(v-D)^k\right) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k(v)(v-D)^k \circ_2 v^2 + 1 \circ_2 v^2 \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} a_k(v)(v-D)^k\right)$$

or

$$2a_0(v) + \sum_{k \geq 1} 2a_k(v)(v-D)^k = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k(v)(v^{k+2})'' + (v^2 a_0(v))'' + \sum_{k \geq 1} (v^2 a_k(v))''(v-D)^k.$$

Comparing terms at $(v-D)^k$, $k \geq 0$, we obtain

$$2a_k(v) = (v^2 a_k(v))'', \quad k \geq 1, \quad (3.21)$$

$$2a_0(v) = (v^2 a_0(v))'' + \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k(v)(v^{k+2})''. \quad (3.22)$$

It follows from (3.21) that $a_k \in \mathbb{k}$ for $k \geq 1$, and then (3.22) implies $a_0(v) = -\sum_{k \geq 1} a_k v^k$. Finally,

$$\psi(1) = \sum_{k \geq 1} (a_k(v-D)^k - a_k v^k).$$

Now we may use (3.19) to deduce the explicit formula for $\psi(f)$, $f \in \mathbb{k}[v]$:

$$\psi(f) = f(v)\psi(1). \quad (3.23)$$

Let us prove (3.23) by induction on $\deg f$. For $\deg f = 0$ we have done. If (3.23) is true for some $f(v)$, then it follows from (3.19) that the same formula is true for $vf(v) = \frac{1}{2}f \circ_1 v^2$.

But (3.23) does not satisfy (3.19): it is sufficient to consider

$$\psi(1 \circ_1 v^3) = 1 \circ_1 v + \psi(1) \circ_1 v^3 + 1 \circ_1 v^2 \psi(v) = 1 + 3\psi(v^2),$$

which is not equal to $3\psi(v^2)$.

Therefore, such a subalgebra S does not exist, so Theorem 3.6 does not hold in general.

Remark 3.11. The first summand $f_1 \circ_n f_2$ of the right-hand side of (3.19) plays the role of a Hochschild's 2-cocycle. This is not a cocycle in the sense of [19], where the basics of the Hochschild theory for conformal algebras have been introduced. Nevertheless, the analogy with the classical theory [27] is clear: we have actually proved that the map $(f_1, f_2) \rightarrow f_1 \circ_n f_2$ is not a "coboundary".

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Leonid Bokut and Alexander Pozhidaev for useful comments, to Efim Zel'manov for his contribution in Proposition 2.10(i). I would like to acknowledge Igor L'vov by mention the joint unpublished result on the structure of the prime radical of an associative conformal algebra in Proposition 2.10(ii).

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Azumaya, On maximally central algebras, *Nagoya Math. J.* **2** (1951) 119–150.
- [2] C. Feldman, The Wedderburn principal theorem in Banach algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1951) 771–777.
- [3] J. A. Wehlen, Splitting properties of extensions of the Wedderburn principal theorem, in: Rings, extensions, and cohomology (Evanston, IL, 1993), 223–240, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **159** (Dekker, New York, 1994).
- [4] D. Zelinsky, Raising idempotents, *Duke Math. J.* **21** (1954) 315–322.

- [5] A. A. Albert, The Wedderburn principal theorem for Jordan algebras, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **48** (1947) 1–7.
- [6] A. Elduque, On a class of Malcev superalgebras, *J. Algebra* **173** (1995) no. 2, 237–252.
- [7] R. D. Schafer, The Wedderburn principal theorem for alternative algebras, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **55** (1949) 604–614.
- [8] R. D. Schafer, On structurable algebras, *J. Algebra* **92** (1985) no. 2, 400–412.
- [9] D. Stefan and F. Van Oystaeyen, The Wedderburn-Malcev theorem for comodule algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **27** (1999) no. 8, 3569–3581.
- [10] D. J. Rodabaugh, On the Wedderburn principal theorem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **138** (1969) 343–361.
- [11] V. G. Kac, Formal distribution algebras and conformal algebras, in: XII-th International Congress in Mathematical Physics (ICMP'97), Brisbane (Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1999) pp. 80–97.
- [12] C. Boyallian, V. G. Kac and J. I. Liberati, On the classification of subalgebras of Cend_N and gc_N , *J. Algebra* **260** (2003) no. 1, 32–63.
- [13] P. S. Kolesnikov, Associative conformal algebras with finite faithful representation, *Adv. Math.*, to appear; preprint math.QA/0402330.
- [14] V. G. Kac, *Vertex algebras for beginners*, Univ. Lect. Series **10** (AMS, Providence, RI, 1997).
- [15] A. A. Beilinson and V. G. Drinfeld, *Chiral algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications **51** (AMS, Providence, RI, 2004).
- [16] B. Bakalov, A. D'Andrea and V. G. Kac, Theory of finite pseudoalgebras, *Adv. Math.* **162** (2001) no. 1, 1–140.
- [17] A. D'Andrea and V. G. Kac, Structure theory of finite conformal algebras, *Sel. Math., New Ser.* **4** (1998) 377–418.
- [18] E. I. Zelmanov, Idempotents in conformal algebras, in: Proc. of Third Internat. Alg. Conf. (Y. Fong et al, eds) (2003), 257–266.
- [19] B. Bakalov, V. G. Kac and A. A. Voronov, Cohomology of conformal algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **200** (1999) no. 3, 561–598.
- [20] N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications **37** (AMS, Providence, RI, 1956).
- [21] M. Roitman, On free conformal and vertex algebras, *J. Algebra* **217** (1999) no. 2, 496–527.
- [22] S.-J. Cheng and V. G. Kac, Conformal modules, *Asian J. Math.* **1** (1997), 181–193.
- [23] A. Retakh, Associative conformal algebras of linear growth, *J. Algebra* **237** (2001) no. 2, 769–788.
- [24] L. A. Bokut, Y. Fong, W.-F. Ke and P. S. Kolesnikov, Gröbner and Gröbner–Shirshov bases in algebra and conformal algebras (Russian), *Fundam. Prikl. Mat.* **6** (2000) no. 3, 669–706.
- [25] C. Faith, *Algebra. II. Ring theory*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 191 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1976).
- [26] A. Retakh, On associative conformal algebras of linear growth II, *J. Algebra*, to appear; preprint math.RA/0212168.
- [27] G. Hochschild, On the cohomology groups of an associative algebra, *Ann. Math.* **46** (1945) no. 1, 58–67.

SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, AKAD. KOPTYUG PROSP., 4, NOVOSIBIRSK, 630090, RUSSIA

E-mail address: pavel.sk@math.nsc.ru

KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, 207-43 CHEONGNYANGNI 2-DONG, DONGDAEMUN-GU, SEOUL, 130722, REPUBLIC OF KOREA